



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/458,906 | 12/10/1999 | HARRI LILJA | 297-009077-U | 9464 |
| 7590 | 10/09/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| CLARENCE A GREEN PERMAN & GREEN 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06430 | | | SOBUTKA, PHILIP | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2684 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003 | |

H

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/458,906 | LILJA ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Philip J. Sobotka | 2684 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2.) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3.) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ . |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 3-5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobylinski et al (US 6,044,272).

Consider claim 8. Kobylinski teaches a cellular mobile station with means for communicating user information on a traffic channel using a TDMA protocol between the base station of the current cell and the mobile (Kobylinski see especially col 3, lines 58-65) and means for performing level measurement of neighboring base stations (Kobylinski see especially col 4, lines 38-52) comprising means for preventing measurements of the neighboring cells during a TDMA frame allocated to traffic channels. Note that since Kobylinski only performs the measurements during idle periods, measurement during allocated traffic slots is prevented (Kobylinski see especially col 2, lines 42-65).

As to claims 3,5, the mobile of Kobylinski would perform the claimed steps.

As to claim 4, note that an idle frame is an empty frame, from the point of view of the mobile.

As to claim 9, note that during the periods when the mobile is not moving, it is a stationary mobile station.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1,2,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobylinski in view of Abbadessa (US 6,192,244).

Consider claim 6. Kobylinski teaches a cellular mobile station with means for communicating user information on a traffic channel using a TDMA protocol between the base station of the current cell and the mobile (Kobylinski see especially col 3, lines 58-65) and means for receiving and storing base station identifiers (Kobylinski see

especially col 4, lines 27-38), after traffic connection is disconnected, the mobile receives and updates in memory any changes (Kobylinski see especially fig 3, col 4, line 53 – col 5, line 15, col 5, line 64 – col 6, line 10). Note that since Kobylinski only performs the scan during idle periods, measurement during allocated traffic slots is prevented (Kobylinski see especially col 2, lines 42-65). Kobylinski lacks a teaching of using BSIC rather than just color codes, DVCC, to identify the base stations.

Abbadessa teaches that color codes do not definitively identify base stations, but the BSIC does (col 5, lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kobylinski to use the BSIC rather than just DVCC in order to definitively identify the base stations.

As to claims 1 and 2, note that the mobile of Kobylinski in view of Abbadessa as modified above would perform the claimed steps.

As to claim 7, note that during the periods when the mobile is not moving, it is a stationary mobile station.

Drawings

6. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the submitted drawings were objected to by the official draftsperson. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rozanski et al (US 5,493,563) has been cited to show another mobile system that uses idle periods for conducting measurements.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J. Sobutka whose telephone number is 703-305-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Philip Sobutka

Pjs

September 26, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Philip J. Sobutka". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "Philip" and "J." being more stylized and "Sobutka" being more clearly legible at the end.